





DOCUMENT TRACKING

| Project Name | Tanami Gas Pipeline Annual Rehabilitation Monitoring Report 2024 |
|-----------------|--|
| Project Number | 24PER8044 |
| Project Manager | Jeni Morris |
| Prepared by | Jess Tomlinson |
| Reviewed by | Jeff Cargill |
| Approved by | Jeff Cargill |
| Status | Final |
| Version Number | V3 |
| Last saved on | 2 August 2024 |
| | |

This report should be cited as 'Eco Logical Australia 2024. *Tanami Gas Pipeline Annual Rehabilitation Monitoring Report 2024*. Prepared for Australian Gas Infrastructure Group.'

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This document has been prepared by Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd with support from Australian Gas Infrastructure Group.

Disclaime

This document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the contract between Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd and Australian Gas Infrastructure Group. The scope of services was defined in consultation with Australian Gas Infrastructure Group, by time and budgetary constraints imposed by the client, and the availability of reports and other data on the subject area. Changes to available information, legislation and schedules are made on an ongoing basis and readers should obtain up to date information. Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report and its supporting material by any third party. Information provided is not intended to be a substitute for site specific assessment or legal advice in relation to any matter. Unauthorised use of this report in any form is prohibited.

Template 2.8.1

Contents

| 1. Introduction | 1 |
|---|---------------|
| 1.1. Project background | 1 |
| 1.2. Objectives | |
| 1.3. Legislative context | |
| 1.4. Completion criteria | |
| | |
| 2. Environmental setting | 5 |
| 2.1. Climate | 5 |
| 2.2. Regional context | |
| 2.2.1. Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia | 0 |
| 2.2.2. Regional landscape and vegetation | |
| | |
| 2.3. Environmental values | 8 |
| 3. Methodology | 10 |
| 3.1. Field survey | 10 |
| · | |
| 3.1.1. Survey team and timing | |
| 3.1.3. Data analysis | |
| 3.1.4. Flora nomenclature | |
| 3.2. Survey limitations and constraints | |
| 4. Results | 13 |
| 4.1. Flora | 13 |
| 4.2. Rehabilitation zones | |
| 4.3. Flora of significance | |
| 4.4. Introduced (weed) species | |
| 4.5. Erosion | |
| 4.6. Fulfilment of completion criteria | |
| 4.6.1. Native vegetation zone | 16 |
| 4.6.2. MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) and MNES habitat zone (| |
| habitat) | . • |
| 4.6.3. MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | 16 |
| 4.7. Comparison of results against completion criteria 2020-2023 | 17 |
| 4.7.1. Native flora vegetation zone | 17 |
| 4.7.2. MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) and MNES habitat zone (habitat) | (Night Parrot |
| 4.7.3. MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | |
| 4.8. Photo monitoring points | 18 |

| 5. Summary and recommendations | 22 |
|--|--------------|
| 6. References | 26 |
| Appendix A Framework for conservation significant flora and fauna ranking | 27 |
| Appendix B GPS location coordinates of monitoring sites | 29 |
| Appendix C Vegetation monitoring site location | 30 |
| Appendix D Flora species list | |
| Appendix E Species by site matrix | 54 |
| Appendix F Summary of introduced (weed) species recorded across the TNP | 60 |
| Appendix G Assessment of individual monitoring sites within the TNP against minimun | າ standards |
| outlined in approved completion criteria (AGIG Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabili | tation Plan; |
| ELA 2018a) | |
| Appendix H Completion criteria result per individual rehabilitation site | 63 |
| Appendix I Completion criteria result per monitoring site within each rehabilitation zone. | |
| Appendix J Photo monitoring points 2020-2024 | 81 |
| List of Figures | |
| Figure 1.1: Vegetation monitoring site overview | |
| Figure 4.1: Flora of significance recorded at monitoring sites across the TNP | 21 |
| List of Tables | |
| Table 1.1: Rehabilitation completion criteria (ELA 2018a) | 3 |
| Table 2.1: Rehabilitation zones outlined in the Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation | n Plan (ELA |
| 2018a) | 9 |
| Table 3.1: Survey team | 10 |
| Table 3.2: Survey limitations | 12 |
| Table 4.1: Flora of significance recorded at monitoring sites across the TNP | 14 |
| Table 4.2: Assessment of each of the rehabilitation zones (individual sites combined) asses | sed against |
| each of the approved completion criteria | 17 |
| Table 4.3: Comparison of results against native vegetation rehabilitation zone completion of | riteria from |
| 2020 to 2024 | |
| Table 4.4: Comparison of results against MNES habitat rehabilitation zone completion criteri | a from 2020 |
| to 2024 | 20 |
| Table 5.1: Summary, changes over time and recommendations of each rehabilitation zone | across the |
| TNP | 23 |

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|--|
| AGIG | Australian Gas Infrastructure Group |
| BoM | Bureau of Meteorology |
| DD | Data Deficient |
| ELA | Eco Logical Australia |
| EPBC Act | Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 |
| ha | hectare |
| IBRA | Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia |
| INFRA | Infraspecific |
| IUCN | International Union for the Conservation of Nature |
| km | kilometre |
| m | metre |
| mm | millimetre |
| MNES | Matters of National Environmental Significance |
| NT | Northern Territory |
| RoW | Right of Way |
| TNP | Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline |
| TPWCA | Northern Territory Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 2006 |
| WoNS | Weeds of National Significance |

Executive Summary

Eco Logical Australia was commissioned by Australian Gas Infrastructure Group in 2024 to undertake vegetation rehabilitation monitoring along the Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline, a 440-kilometre pipeline connecting the existing Amadeus Gas Pipeline to the Granites and Dead Bullock Soak mines. Assessment of botanical values was undertaken in view of minimum standards outlined in the flora and vegetation rehabilitation completion criteria, as specified in the approved Australian Gas Infrastructure Group *Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan*, prepared by Eco Logical Australia in 2018.

A total of seventeen vegetation monitoring sites, each comprising an impact (rehabilitation) quadrat and an adjacent control quadrat (34 quadrats in total), were surveyed from 7 to 15 May 2024. Vegetation monitoring sites were consistent with the 2023 monitoring surveys, including the site 17 rehabilitation quadrat, which was moved in 2022 as the previously established quadrat had been cleared. Vegetation monitoring sites were initially chosen to ensure appropriate spatial distance and replication of sites within each of the rehabilitation zones identified and outlined in the *Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan*, namely' native vegetation zone', 'MNES habitat zone (Dwarf Desert Spike-rush habitat)', 'MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat)', 'MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat)' and 'MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat)'. AGIG requested removal of the Dwarf Desert Spike Rush rehabilitation zone in 2024, which contained three sites not included in any other rehabilitation zone (sites 3, 6 and 8). Results from these three sites were reassigned to the 'Native vegetation zone' and assessed under the Native vegetation rehabilitation zone completion criteria.

No Threatened flora listed under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* were recorded during the field survey. One flora species listed as Data Deficient (DD) under the Northern Territory *Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 2006* and one species listed as Infraspecific (INFRA) were recorded, namely *Sida* sp. *excedentifolia* (J.L. Egan 1925) (DD) and *Tephrosia brachyodon* (INFRA). *Sida* sp. *excedentifolia* (J.L. Egan 1925) (DD) was recorded within five quadrats (three rehabilitation and two control) and *Tephrosia brachyodon* (INFRA) was recorded within one control quadrat.

A total of eight introduced (weed) species were recorded within the vegetation monitoring sites, namely *Bidens bipinnata, *Cenchrus ciliaris, *Citrullus colocynthis, *Cynodon dactylon, *Eragrostis cylindriflora, *Eragrostis minor, *Eragrostis pilosa, and *Eragrostis trichophora. Of these, none are listed as Declared Weeds or Weeds of National Significance (WoNS) in the Northern Territory. *C. ciliaris was recorded in four rehabilitation quadrats (2A at 0.05% cover; 3A at 0.01%; 7A at 0.05% cover; and 8A at 1% cover) and three control quadrats (1B at 0.2% cover; 3B at 0.1% and 6B at 0.1% cover). Weed control in these areas to reduce current *Cenchrus ciliaris (Buffel grass) cover and mitigate further spread should be considered (particularly in creekline/low-lying environments).

The native vegetation zone satisfied three out of four completion criteria; these being: native perennial flora species density (Control: 0.43 ± 0.15 ; Rehabilitation 0.22 ± 0.07), native perennial flora species richness (Control: 20.11 ± 3.79 ; Rehabilitation: 21.00 ± 3.53), and native perennial flora species foliage cover (Control: 46.12 ± 9.27 ; Rehabilitation: 32.10 ± 7.07). Weed foliage cover did not satisfy completion criteria, as percentage of foliage cover was greater in rehabilitation sites than in controls (Control: 0.11 ± 0.06 ; Rehabilitation: 2.15 ± 1.57).

The MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat and Night Parrot habitat) satisfied three of the four completion criteria; these being: native perennial flora species density (Control: 0.20 ± 0.01 ; Rehabilitation 0.24 ± 0.07), native perennial flora species richness (Control: 19.83 ± 2.51 ; Rehabilitation: 19.33 ± 2.55) and native perennial flora species foliage cover (Control: 54.54 ± 6.30 ; Rehabilitation: 45.76 ± 8.64). Weed foliage cover did not satisfy completion criteria, as percentage of foliage cover was greater in rehabilitation sites than in controls (Control: 0.00 ± 0.00 ; Rehabilitation: 0.02 ± 0.01).

The MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) satisfied two of the four completion criteria; these being: native perennial flora species richness (Control: 18.60 ± 4.03 ; Rehabilitation 16.40 ± 4.58) and weed foliage cover (Control: 0.04 ± 0.04 ; Rehabilitation: 0.01 ± 0.01 .) Native perennial flora species density did not satisfy completion criteria, achieving 30.6% of the control values (Control: 0.31 ± 0.16 ; Rehabilitation: 0.09 ± 0.05). Native perennial flora species foliage cover also failed to satisfy completion criteria, achieving 46.7% of the control values (Control: 50.60 ± 11.10 ; Rehabilitation: 23.65 ± 11.43).

Significant erosion was observed in 2022, 2023, and again in 2024 within rehabilitation quadrat 6A. High early season (Dec/Jan) rainfall in 2023, as well as high rainfall preceding the 2024 survey increased waterflow in the minor creekline, resulting in further expansion of the channel bed and undercutting of the creek bank. Intervention is recommended to stabilise and recontour the landform in this area.

Corymbia opaca was recorded within rehabilitation monitoring quadrat 4A (1 plant, 0.5% cover, 3 m tall) in 2023, and again in 2024. Early intervention to remove this individual, and any other *C. opaca* individuals within the vicinity, is recommended to avoid establishment of these large, deep-rooted trees above the natural gas pipeline.

1. Introduction

1.1. Project background

Australian Gas Infrastructure Group (AGIG) completed construction of the Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline (TNP), a 440-kilometre (km) pipeline connecting the existing Amadeus Gas Pipeline to the Granites and Dead Bullock Soak mines to transport natural gas to displace the use of diesel fuel at the two mines. The TNP passes through Aboriginal Freehold, Pastoral Land and Crown Land tenures.

Temporary disturbance of a 25 metre (m) Right of Way (RoW) was required to construct the TNP as well as four construction camps, access tracks and a temporary water storage during construction. The total area impacted covered 1,161 hectares (ha) of native vegetation.

Majority of the alignment, excluding permanent facilities and 26 ha of required access tracks, has been rehabilitated post-construction and allowed to return to native vegetation. Effective rehabilitation will manage potential impacts from:

- Long-term loss of flora and vegetation communities;
- Soil disturbance and soil compaction;
- Introduction and/or spread of weed species;
- Long-term disturbance, fragmentation and loss of flora and fauna habitat (including for MNES);
 and
- Landform instability (reducing the potential for erosion and sedimentation of surrounding water bodies).

1.2. Objectives

Eco Logical Australia (ELA) was engaged by AGIG to undertake a fifth consecutive year of annual rehabilitation monitoring at 17 vegetation monitoring sites along the TNP (**Figure 1.1**), each of which comprises an impact (rehabilitation) and an adjacent control quadrat (34 quadrats in total). Vegetation monitoring sites, established by ELA in 2020, were established to ensure appropriate spatial distance and replication of sites within each of the rehabilitation zones identified and outlined in the approved *Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan* (ELA 2018a).

The purpose of this report is to assess progression of rehabilitation towards achievement of approved completion criteria to provide a comparison of results between 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024, and to identify where contingency actions need to be implemented to manage any risks to rehabilitation outcomes.

Previously, a rehabilitation zone for Dwarf Desert Spike Rush (*Eleocharis papillosa*) was included in reporting requirements, comprising three sites not included in any other rehabilitation zone (sites 3, 6 and 8). It is noted that AGIG have requested removal of the Dwarf Desert Spike Rush rehabilitation zone in 2024. As such, results from these three sites have been reassigned to the 'Native vegetation zone' and will be assessed under the Native vegetation rehabilitation zone completion criteria.

1.3. Legislative context

The Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) is the Australian Government's key piece of environmental legislation. The EPBC Act enables the Australian Government to join with the states and territories in providing a truly national scheme of environment and heritage protection and biodiversity conservation. The EPBC Act focuses Australian Government interests on the protection of Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES), with the states and territories having responsibility for matters of state and local significance.

The Northern Territory *Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 2006* (TPWCA) is the primary legislative framework for managing the protection and conservation of biodiversity in the Northern Territory. The TPWCA legislative framework includes mechanisms for the classification and management of wildlife; classification and control of feral animals; permitting requirements to take wildlife and; designation and management of protected lands. The TPWCA determines the conservation status of flora and fauna species utilising an analogous classification system and criteria to that developed by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

Classification categories for flora listed under the Commonwealth EPBC Act and the Northern Territory TPWCA are listed in **Appendix A**.

1.4. Completion criteria

AGIG are ultimately responsible for the successful rehabilitation of the construction RoW to meet approved completion criteria, as outlined in the AGIG *Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan* (ELA 2018a; **Table 1.1**).

Table 1.1: Rehabilitation completion criteria (ELA 2018a)

| Aspect | Native vegetation rehabilitation zone completion criteria | MNES habitat rehabilitation zone completion criteria |
|---|--|--|
| Native flora species density (plants per m²) | Perennial native flora species diversity is equal to or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area. | Perennial native flora species density is equal to or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the MNES habitat rehabilitation zone requirements |
| | Perennial native flora species richness is equal to or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the species composition present in the predisturbed habitat type. | Perennial native flora species richness is equal to or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the species composition present in the pre-disturbed habitat type. |
| Native flora species richness (per quadrat) | Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. | Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. |
| Native flora species | Percentage of foliage cover of perennial native flora species indigenous to each vegetation community is equal to or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the pre-disturbed habitat type. | Percentage of foliage cover of perennial native flora species indigenous to each vegetation community is equal to or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the pre-disturbed habitat type. |
| foliage cover (%) | Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. | Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. |
| Weed foliage cover (%) | Percentage of foliage cover of Declared species under the Weeds Management Act, Weeds of National Significance (WONS) and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris) is not greater than that of the adjacent control area at 12 months, 24 months and 36 months. | Percentage of foliage cover of Declared species under the Weeds Management Act, Weeds of National Significance (WONS) and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris) is not greater than that of the adjacent control area at 12 months, 24 months and 36 months. |



Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline







Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 5/07/2024



2. Environmental setting

2.1. Climate

The Tanami Gas Pipeline Project Area traverses bioregions with typically arid to semiarid and tropical climates and monsoonal influences, with monsoonal events typically occur over the 'wet season' between November and April (Bastin and the ACRIS Management Committee 2008).

Rabbit Flat weather station (station number 15666; climate data 1996-present) and Alice Springs Airport weather station (station number 15590; climate data 1940-present) are the nearest Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) weather stations to either end of the TNP with active, complete and uncompromised rainfall data sets. In the 12 months preceding the May 2024 field survey, the region received a total of 896 millimetre (mm) and 442 mm at Rabbit Flat and Alice Springs Airport respectively. This is well above the long-term average for Rabbit Flat (467 mm) and the long-term average for Alice Springs Airport (282 mm). In the three months preceding the field survey, the north (Rabbit Flat) received 666 mm which well above the long-term average (183 mm) for the same time period, and the south (Alice Springs Airport) received 91 mm which is similar to the long-term average (82 mm) (BoM 2024; Plate 2-1).

Annual rainfall recorded from the Rabbit Flat weather station in 2023 is higher than the 20-year average (772.2 mm in 2023 compared with 452.9 mm average from 2003-2023), while annual rainfall recorded from the Alice Springs Airport weather station in 2023 is lower than the 20-year average (393.6 mm in 2023 compared with 268.5 mm average from 2003-2023; **Plate 2-2**).

Mean maximum temperatures in the region ranged from 26°C in June to 39°C in December in the north (Rabbit Flat) and 19.9°C in June and July to 36.5°C in January in the south (Alice Springs Airport). Mean minimum temperatures in the region range from 6.7°C in July to 24.2°C in January in the north (Rabbit Flat) and 4°C in July to 21.6°C in January in the south (Alice Springs Airport).

Rainfall and temperature data recorded from the Rabbit Flat (15666) and Alice Springs Airport (15590) weather stations 12 months prior to the field survey compared to the long-term average (BoM 2024) is presented in **Plate 2-1** below. Total yearly rainfall data from 2003-2023 is presented in **Plate 2-2**.




Plate 2-1: Rainfall and temperature data recorded from the Rabbit Flat (15666) and Alice Springs Airport (15590) weather stations 12 months prior to the field survey compared to the long-term average (BoM 2024)




Plate 2-2: Total yearly rainfall data (2003-2023) from the Rabbit Flat (15666) and Alice Springs Airport (15590) weather stations (BoM 2024)

2.2. Regional context

2.2.1. Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia

The Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) Version 7 divides Australia into 89 bioregions and 419 subregions across Australia, based on a range of biotic and abiotic factors, including climate variability, vegetation, fauna, geology and landform (Thackway and Cresswell 1995). The TNP traverses three bioregions and six sub-regions, namely Burt Plain (Yuendumu [BRT01] and Atartinga [BRT02] subregions), Great Sandy Desert (Mackay [GDS02], Lake Bennett [GSD05] and Lake Lewis [GSD06] subregions) and Tanami (Tanami Desert [TAN01] subregion) bioregions.

2.2.2. Regional landscape and vegetation

The Burt Plain bioregion is characterised by plain and low rock ranges. Vegetation is predominantly mulga and other *Acacia* woodlands with short grasses and forbs, and spinifex grasslands (Bastin and the ACRIS Management Committee 2008). The Great Sandy Desert bioregion is characterised by red sand plains, dune fields and remnant rocky outcrops. Vegetation is predominantly spinifex grasslands, low woodlands and shrubs (Bastin and the ACRIS Management Committee 2008). The Tanami bioregion is characterised by featureless sand plains with small areas of alluvial plains, low ridges and stony rises. Vegetation is predominantly spinifex hummock grassland with a tall-sparse shrub overstory (Bastin and the ACRIS Management Committee 2008).

2.3. Environmental values

Environmental values relevant to the TNP focuses on habitat values for MNES. More specifically, threatened flora and fauna species relevant to the TNP include:

- Greater Bilby (Macrotis lagotis);
- Great Desert Skink (Liopholis kintorei);
- Night Parrot (Pezoporus occidentalis); and
- Princess Parrot (Polytelis alexandrae).

Distinct rehabilitation zones for both native vegetation and MNES habitat for species outlined above were defined, with vegetation monitoring sites chosen to ensure appropriate replication within each of the four defined zones, as outlined in the *Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan* (ELA 2018a; **Table 2.1**). Several monitoring sites are recognised as potentially supporting multiple MNES and are therefore represented within more than one rehabilitation zone. For example, monitoring site 10 was established in habitat potentially supporting Greater Bilby, Great Desert Skink, Night Parrot, and Princess Parrot.

Table 2.1: Rehabilitation zones outlined in the Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan (ELA 2018a)

| Rehabilitation zone | Zone description (ELA 2018a) | Representative monitoring sites |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Native vegetation zone | Defined as all native vegetation within the Project Area, excluding areas mapped as MNES habitat zones below. | 3, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17 |
| MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) | Eucalyptus/Corymbia/Acacia woodlands over Triodia hummocks, and Melaleuca and Acacia shrublands over Triodia hummocks, on sandplains and paleodrainage channels and in proximity to recent records in the north and the south of the Project Area. | 2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 16 |
| MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat) | <i>Triodia</i> dominated grasslands and <i>Astrebla</i> dominated shrubby samphire and chenopod associations with scattered trees and shrubs within the Project Area. | 2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 16 |
| MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | Sandplain woodlands and shrublands, dominated by scattered <i>Eucalyptus</i> , <i>Casuarina</i> or <i>Allocasuarina</i> , with an understorey of <i>Acacia</i> , <i>Eremophila</i> , <i>Grevillea</i> , <i>Hakea</i> , <i>Senna</i> and ground cover of <i>Triodia</i> ; and riparian areas dominated by large <i>Eucalyptus</i> or <i>Allocasuarina</i> within the Project Area. Rehabilitation completion criteria in this zone relates only to understorey and ground cover species. | 1, 4, 5, 7, 10 |

3. Methodology

3.1. Field survey

3.1.1. Survey team and timing

The field survey was undertaken from 7 to 15 May 2024 by Dr. Jeff Cargill (Principal Botanist), Daniel Marsh (Botanist), Jeni Morris (Senior Ecologist) and Jess Tomlinson (Graduate Ecologist). The survey team's relevant qualifications, experience and licences are provided below in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1: Survey team

| Name | Qualification | Relevant experience | Relevant permits / licences |
|------------------|---|--|---|
| Dr. Jeff Cargill | BSc. Hons. PhD Environmental Sciences | Jeff has over 15 years' experience in botanical and ecological studies throughout WA and the NT including baseline vegetation studies (Reconnaissance and Detailed surveys), Targeted Threatened and Priority flora and fauna surveys, biological data analysis and rehabilitation and vegetation monitoring programs. Jeff completed the baseline TNP vegetation mapping in 2017, and 2020, 2021, 2022, and 2023 rehabilitation monitoring of the TNP. Jeff has also completed rehabilitation monitoring for the entire DBNGP, CS2-Tubridgi-Wheatstone Natural Gas Pipeline and the Fortescue River Gas Pipeline. | NT Parks and Wildlife permit number: 72613 CLC Permit and Authority number: P78712 |
| Daniel Marsh | BSc. Hons. Environmental Science | Daniel has over 11 years' experience in botanical surveys and environmental services throughout Western Australia. This includes baseline vegetation studies (reconnaissance and detailed surveys), threatened and priority flora surveys, threatened and priority ecological community surveys and weed surveys. Daniel has an extensive background in both mining and consulting, particularly in remote areas. Daniel completed the 2022 and 2023 monitoring of the TNP. | CLC Permit and Authority number: P78712 |
| Jeni Morris | BSc. Conservation and Wildlife Biology | Jeni has over 7 years' experience undertaking flora and fauna surveys in the arid zones of WA and the NT, including baseline, Targeted Threatened species surveys and rehabilitation monitoring programs. Jeni completed the 2020, 2021, 2022 and 2023 monitoring of the TNP and undertook the flora and fauna pre-clearance surveys for the TNP in 2018. | NT Parks and Wildlife permit number: 72613 CLC Permit and Authority number: P78712 |
| Jess Tomlinson | BSc. Zoology | Jess joined Eco Logical Australia (ELA) as a Graduate Ecologist in April 2024, after having completed a Bachelor of Science majoring in Zoology at the University of Western Australia. Jess has skills in report writing, data analysis and a wide variety of flora and fauna survey techniques. | CLC Permit and Authority number: P78712 |

3.1.2. Rehabilitation monitoring

A total of 34 vegetation monitoring sites (17 rehabilitation and 17 control quadrats; each 10 x 50 m in size) were established in 2020 to ensure spatial distance and replication of sites within each of the rehabilitation zones outlined in **Section 2.3** above. Sites were selected based on preliminary sites outlined in the *Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan* (ELA 2018a), further refined in the *Pre-clearance Survey Report* (ELA 2018b). Locations of monitoring sites are provided in **Appendix B** and **Appendix C**.

Control quadrats were permanently demarcated with a steel fence dropper in the north-west corner, and wooden fence droppers in the north-east, south-east and south-west corners. Rehabilitation quadrats were not permanently demarcated with metal fence droppers, but rather demarcated with GPS coordinates and reference photos only, due to safety reasons associated with the nature and depth of the high-pressure gas pipeline.

Within each quadrat, the following information was recorded (as relevant to the completion criteria and in accordance with approved methodology outlined in the 'Northern Territory Guidelines and Field Methodology for Vegetation Survey and Mapping' (Brocklehurst et al. 2007):

- Site number and quadrat type (rehabilitation or control), coordinates, time and date;
- Native flora species density (number of plants per m²);
- Native flora species richness (per quadrat);
- Native flora species foliage cover (%);
- Weed foliage cover (%);
- Indicators of the presence of fauna (e.g. scats, burrows, tracks); and
- General observations (i.e. feral animal disturbance, fire occurrence, signs of erosion).

Photo monitoring points were completed at each vegetation monitoring site to provide a visual comparison between sites, with two photographs taken at each site: one at the northwest and one at the southeast corner of each quadrat.

3.1.3. Data analysis

Perennial native species richness, foliage cover and weed foliage cover per 10 x 50 m quadrat and perennial native species density per m² were calculated for control and rehabilitation quadrats. The mean and standard error for each factor was then calculated for control and rehabilitation quadrats within each rehabilitation zone. Rehabilitation areas were then compared against controls in view of the completion criteria. Tree species, namely *Corymbia* spp. and *Eucalyptus* spp. were removed from the analysis for rehabilitation quadrats, as specified in the approved completion criteria outlined in Section1.4. It is noted that certain *Acacia* species have the potential to grow in tree form (Mulga), and these will be excluded on an individual basis where appropriate.

3.1.4. Flora nomenclature

Nomenclature for all flora species and classification categories for flora of significance follows that presented in FloraNT (Northern Territory Herbarium 2015).

3.2. Survey limitations and constraints

Constraints and limitations for the rehabilitation monitoring are summarised in **Table 3.2**. No constraints were identified.

Table 3.2: Survey limitations

| Constraint | Limitation |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Sources of information | Not a constraint : The TNP has been well surveyed, with several flora and vegetation survey reports able to be utilised for the purpose of this survey. In addition, publicly available data and information from sources such as FloraNT were accessed. |
| Scope of work | Not a constraint : The survey requirement for rehabilitation monitoring in accordance with the <i>Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan</i> (ELA 2018a) and the <i>Northern Territory Guidelines and Field Methodology for Vegetation Survey and Mapping</i> (Brocklehurst <i>et al.</i> 2007) was adequately met. |
| Completeness of survey | Not a constraint: The area was surveyed to the satisfaction of the scope. |
| Intensity of survey | Not a constraint : Survey effort was considered adequate to meet the objectives of the scope. A total of 34 quadrats (17 rehabilitation and 17 control) were established across the TNP, with a sufficient number established per rehabilitation zone as per the <i>Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan</i> (ELA 2018a). |
| Timin | Not a constraint : The 'wet season' in the Northern Territory stretches from November to April, during which floristic material allowing plant identification is most likely to be available for most species. |
| Timing, weather, season, cycle | The field survey was undertaken in May 2024, within the recommended timing for flora surveys in this region. In the three months preceding the field survey, the TNP received well above average rainfall (see Section 2.1). The majority of flora species were in flower or fruit, enabling positive identification. |
| Disturbances | Not a constraint : Disturbances within the monitoring sites included the presence of weeds, disturbance from cattle activity (grazing, scats and trampling) and evidence of heat stress. These disturbances did not negatively impact the ability to meet the requirements outline in the scope of works. |
| Resources | Not a constraint : The personnel conducting this field survey were suitably qualified to identify flora specimens, having previously undertaken flora and vegetation assessments in north-eastern WA and NT. Flora identifications were undertaken at the Alice Springs Herbarium by resident taxonomist Dr. David Albrecht. |
| Accessibility | Not a constraint : All rehabilitation sites surveyed by ELA in 2024 were able to be accessed by vehicle or on foot over the duration of the field survey. |

4. Results

4.1. Flora

A total of 259 vascular plant taxa (251 native and eight introduced) were recorded, representing 119 plant genera and 41 plant families. The majority of taxa recorded represented the Poaceae (61 taxa), Fabaceae (43 taxa), and Malvaceae (21 taxa) families. Total species richness was higher in rehabilitation areas, with 200 species being recorded compared to 198 in control areas. Species lists and a species by site matrix are presented in **Appendix D** and **Appendix E**.

4.2. Rehabilitation zones

Native vegetation zone:

Control: 146 vascular plant taxa, representing 85 plant genera and 32 plant families were recorded within the native vegetation zone. The majority of taxa recorded represented the Poaceae (43 taxa), Fabaceae (21 taxa), and Malvaceae (14 taxa) families. Of the vascular plant taxa recorded, five were introduced (weed) species.

Rehabilitation: 156 vascular plant taxa, representing 84 plant genera and 31 plant families were recorded within the native vegetation zone. The majority of taxa recorded represented the Poaceae (45 taxa), Fabaceae (21 taxa), and Malvaceae (16 taxa) families. Of the vascular plant taxa recorded, six were introduced (weed) species.

MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) and MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat):

Control:109 vascular plant taxa, representing 65 plant genera and 30 plant families were recorded within the Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink and Night Parrot habitat zones. The majority of taxa recorded represented the Poaceae (28 taxa), Fabaceae (17 taxa), and Malvaceae (9 taxa) families. Of the vascular plant taxa recorded, none were introduced (weed) species.

Rehabilitation: 103 vascular plant taxa, representing 63 plant genera and 26 plant families were recorded within the Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink and Night Parrot habitat zones. The majority of taxa recorded represented the Poaceae (29 taxa), Fabaceae (17 taxa) and Malvaceae (5 taxa) families. Of the vascular plant taxa recorded, two were introduced (weed) species.

MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat):

Control: 93 vascular plant taxa, representing 59 plant genera and 24 plant families were recorded within the Princess Parrot habitat zone. The majority of taxa recorded represented the Poaceae (30 taxa), Fabaceae (16 taxa), and Malvaceae (9 taxa) families. Of the vascular plant taxa recorded, four were introduced (weed) species.

Rehabilitation: 94 vascular plant taxa, representing 58 plant genera and 20 plant families were recorded within the Princess Parrot habitat zone. The majority of taxa recorded represented the Poaceae (26 taxa), Fabaceae (19 taxa), and Malvaceae (8 taxa) families. Of the vascular plant taxa recorded, five were introduced (weed) species.

4.3. Flora of significance

No Threatened flora species listed under the Commonwealth EPBC Act were recorded within vegetation monitoring sites. One species listed as Data Deficient (DD) under the Northern Territory TPWCA and one species listed as Infraspecific (INFRA) were recorded within the vegetation monitoring sites (**Table 4.1**; **Figure 4.1**). Classification categories for flora of significance are listed in **Appendix A**.

Table 4.1: Flora of significance recorded at monitoring sites across the TNP

| Species | Conservation status (TPWCA) | Monitoring site | Quadrat type | # individuals |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L. Egan 1925) | DD | 2A | Rehabilitation | 1 |
| Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L. Egan 1925) | DD | 3A | Rehabilitation | 39 |
| Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L. Egan 1925) | DD | 3B | Control | 35 |
| Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L. Egan 1925) | DD | 11A | Rehabilitation | 19 |
| Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L. Egan 1925) | DD | 11B | Control | 30 |
| Tephrosia brachyodon | INFRA | 3B | Control | 25 |

4.4. Introduced (weed) species

A total of eight introduced (weed) species were recorded within the vegetation monitoring sites, namely *Bidens bipinnata, *Cenchrus ciliaris, *Citrullus colocynthis, *Cynodon dactylon, *Eragrostis cylindriflora, *Eragrostis minor, *Eragrostis pilosa, and *Eragrostis trichophora. Of these, none are listed as Declared Weeds or Weeds of National Significance (WoNS) in the Northern Territory (Department of Environment and Natural Resources 2019).

*Bidens bipinnata was recorded from one rehabilitation quadrat (4). *Cenchrus ciliaris was recorded from within seven sites across the length of the TNP; comprising four rehabilitation quadrats (2, 3, 7, and 8) and three control quadrats (1, 3, and 6). *Citrullus colocynthis was recorded from two sites; one control quadrat (6) and one rehabilitation quadrat (6). *Cynodon dactylon was recorded from five sites; three control quadrats (1, 6, and 8) and two rehabilitation quadrats (1 and 8). *Eragrostis cylindriflora was recorded within one control site in the TNP, quadrat 1. *Eragrostis minor was recorded from four sites; three rehabilitation quadrats (4, 5, and 6) and one control quadrat (6). *Eragrostis pilosa was recorded from one rehabilitation quadrat (6). *Eragrostis trichophora was recorded from eight sites; five rehabilitation quadrats (3, 4, 6, 11, and 17) and three control quadrats (4, 11, and 17). A breakdown of introduced (weed) species recorded is provided in **Appendix F.**

4.5. Erosion

Significant erosion has been consistently observed from 2022 to 2024 within site 6 rehabilitation quadrat (**Plate 4-1**). Heavy rainfall preceding the 2022 survey, followed by high early season (Dec/Jan) rainfall in 2023 increased waterflow in the minor creekline, resulting in expansion of the channel bed and undercutting of the creek bank. Moderate rainfall preceded the 2024 field survey resulting in standing water being observed (**Plate 4-1**).



Plate 4-1: Erosion recorded at site 6 – rehabilitation quadrat

4.6. Fulfilment of completion criteria

Results across the 17 established vegetation monitoring sites were averaged for each of the four rehabilitation zones and assessed against approved completion criteria outlined in the AGIG Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan (ELA 2018a). An overview of results is presented in **Table 4.2**: Assessment of each of the rehabilitation zones (individual sites combined) assessed against each of the approved completion criteria.

4.6.1. Native vegetation zone

The native vegetation zone, represented by nine vegetation monitoring sites (3, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 17), satisfied three of the four completion criteria (**Table 4.2**); these being: native perennial flora species density (Control: 0.43 ± 0.15 ; Rehabilitation 0.22 ± 0.07), native perennial flora species richness (Control: 20.11 ± 3.79 ; Rehabilitation: 21.00 ± 3.53), native perennial flora species foliage cover (Control: 46.12 ± 9.27 ; Rehabilitation: 32.10 ± 7.07). Weed foliage cover did not satisfy completion criteria (Control: 0.11 ± 0.06 ; Rehabilitation: 2.15 ± 1.57). A breakdown of each monitoring site assessed against the completion criteria is presented in **Appendix G.**

4.6.2. MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) and MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat)

The MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat and Night Parrot habitat), represented by six vegetation monitoring sites (2, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 16) satisfied three of the four completion criteria (**Table 4.2**); these being: native perennial flora species density (Control: 0.20 ± 0.01 ; Rehabilitation 0.24 ± 0.07), native perennial flora species richness (Control: 19.17 ± 4.03 ; Rehabilitation: 19.33 ± 2.55) and native perennial flora species foliage cover (Control: 54.54 ± 6.30 ; Rehabilitation: 45.76 ± 8.64). Weed foliage did not satisfy completion criteria (Control: 0.00 ± 0.00 ; Rehabilitation: 0.02 ± 0.01). A breakdown of each monitoring site assessed against the completion criteria is presented in **Appendix G.**

4.6.3. MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat)

The MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat), represented by five vegetation monitoring sites (1, 4, 5, 7 and 10) satisfied two of the four completion criteria (**Table 4.2**); these being: native perennial flora species richness (Control: 15.80 ± 3.79 ; Rehabilitation 14.00 ± 4.55) and weed foliage cover (Control: 0.04 ± 0.04 ; Rehabilitation: 0.01 ± 0.01). Native perennial flora species density (Control: 0.31 ± 0.16 ; Rehabilitation: 0.09 ± 0.05) and native perennial flora species foliage cover (Control: 50.60 ± 11.10 ; Rehabilitation: 23.65 ± 11.43) did not satisfy completion criteria. A breakdown of each monitoring site assessed against the completion criteria is presented in **Appendix G**.

Figures showing completion criteria results per individual rehabilitation site are shown in **Appendix H**. Figure showing completion criteria results per individual rehabilitation site within each MNES habitat zone are shown in **Appendix I**.

Table 4.2: Assessment of each of the rehabilitation zones (individual sites combined) assessed against each of the approved completion criteria

| Rehabilitation zone | Representative sites | Native flora species density (plants per m2) | Native flora species richness (per quadrat) | Native flora species foliage cover (%) | Weed foliage cover (%) |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| Native vegetation zone | 3, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17 | PASS | PASS | PASS | FAIL |
| MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) | 2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 16 | PASS | PASS | PASS | FAIL |
| MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat) | 2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 16 | PASS | PASS | PASS | FAIL |
| MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | 1, 4, 5, 7, 10 | FAIL | PASS | FAIL | PASS |

4.7. Comparison of results against completion criteria 2020-2023

A summary of the 2024 survey results for the native vegetation rehabilitation zone and MNES habitat rehabilitation zones against 2020, 2021, 2022, and 2023 results are presented in **Table 4.3** and **Table 4.4** respectively below.

4.7.1. Native flora vegetation zone

Native perennial flora species density has met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment; with a decrease recorded between 2023 (118.2% of control) and 2024 (52.17% of control). It is noted that native perennial flora species density significantly decreased in 2022 compared to 2020 and 2021, however this result was primarily driven by the number of individual *Yakirra australiensis* var. *australiensis* plants recorded in site 13 rehabilitation quadrat in 2022 (500 individuals) compared to 2020 (4,000 individuals) and 2021 (5,000 individuals). Another significant decrease was observed in 2024, similarly driven by the number of individual *Marsilea hirsuta* plants recorded in site 12 control quadrat in 2023 (30 individuals) compared to 2024 (5,000 individuals). Such a large number of individual plants in one site greatly inflated the values for control sites, resulting in a larger difference in species density between control and rehabilitation sites than seen in previous years. Native perennial flora species richness has met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment, with values being consistently comparable to those recorded in the controls. Native perennial flora species foliage cover has steadily increased since establishment and continues to meet the completion criteria.

Weed foliage cover has met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment, until 2024. This is because despite rehabilitation weed foliage cover having decreased from 2% in 2023 to 1.01% in 2024, control sites saw a greater decrease from 2.05% in 2023 to 0.5% cover in 2024, leading to cover in rehabilitation sites being higher than controls in 2024.

4.7.2. MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) and MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat)

Native perennial flora species density has met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment; with values being consistently higher those recorded in the controls. Native perennial flora species richness has met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment, with values from 2021 onward being consistently comparable to those recorded in the controls. Native

perennial flora species foliage cover consistently maintains values comparable to those recorded in the controls and continues to meet the specified completion criteria.

Weed foliage cover has failed to satisfy completion criteria since 2022, with *Cenchrus ciliaris being recorded at two rehabilitation sites in 2024.

4.7.3. MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat)

High native perennial flora species density values were recorded between 2020 and 2022 (>100% of control). However, a large decrease was recorded between 2022 and 2023, resulting in rehabilitation values being slightly less than 70% of the controls. In 2024 native perennial flora species density values were once again observed to be decreasing, falling from 68.3% of control in 2023, to 30.5% of control in 2024.

Native perennial flora species richness has met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment, with values being consistently comparable to those recorded in the controls. Native perennial flora species foliage cover has failed to satisfy completion criteria since establishment. Values have remained at approximately 50% of the controls since 2021, with a small decrease occurring between 2023 (48.3%) and 2024 (46.7%).

Weed foliage cover has met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment.

4.8. Photo monitoring points

Photo monitoring points across 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024 are presented in Appendix J.

Table 4.3: Comparison of results against native vegetation rehabilitation zone completion criteria from 2020 to 2024

| | | Native vegetation zone ² | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Aspect ¹ | Native vegetation rehabilitation zone completion criteria | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2024 (Pass/Fail) | | | | |
| Native flora species density (% of control) | Perennial native flora species diversity is equal to or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area. | 302.6 | 325.7 | 77.6³ | 118.2 | 52.174 | Pass | | | | |
| Native flora species richness (% of control) | Perennial native flora species richness is equal to or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the species composition present in the pre-disturbed habitat type. Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. | 95.1 | 135.7 | 109.9 | 106.2 | 104.42 | Pass | | | | |
| Native flora species foliage cover (% of control) | Percentage of foliage cover of perennial native flora species indigenous to each vegetation community is equal to or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the pre-disturbed habitat type. Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. | 20.3 | 46.1 | 40.9 | 54.3 | 69.59 | Pass | | | | |
| Weed foliage cover: is rehabilitation greater than control (y/n)? | Percentage of foliage cover of Declared species under the Weeds Management Act, Weeds of National Significance (WONS) and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris) is not greater than that of the adjacent control area at 12 months, 24 months and 36 months. | No | No | No | No | Yes | Fail | | | | |

¹Results for native perennial flora species density, richness and foliage cover in rehabilitation areas (above) are presented as a total percentage of the adjacent control areas, in order to show a comparison of results, indicating the development trajectory of each rehabilitation zone.

²Note: Site 17 rehabilitation quadrat was relocated in 2022, as such the 2022 figure only compares sites 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 for the native vegetation zone across 2020, 2021 and 2022. The relocated Site 17 rehabilitation quadrat was reassessed in 2023 and 2024.

³Thiss result is primarily driven by the number of individual Yakirra australiensis var. australiensis recorded within site 13 rehabilitation quadrat in 2022 (500 individuals) compared to 2020 (4,000 individuals) and 2021 (5,000 individuals).

⁴This result is primarily driven by the number of individual Marsilea hirsuta plants recorded in site 12 control quadrat in 2023 (30 individuals) compared to 2024 (5,000 individuals).

Table 4.4: Comparison of results against MNES habitat rehabilitation zone completion criteria from 2020 to 2024

| | | Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat | | | | | | Night Parrot habitat | | | | | Princess Parrot habitat | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|---------------------|
| Aspect ¹ | MNES habitat rehabilitation zone completion criteria | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2024 (Pass/Fail) | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2024 (Pass/Fail) | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2024 (Pass/Fail) |
| Native flora species density (% of control) | Perennial native flora species density is equal to or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the Dwarf Desert Spike-rush habitat rehabilitation zone requirements (watercourse/riparian vegetation). | 192.6 | 222.5 | 173.4 | 127.9 | 117.64 | Pass | 192.6 | 222.5 | 173.4 | 127.9 | 117.64 | Pass | 250.0 | 133.2 | 114.2 | 68.3 | 30.55 | Fail |
| Native flora species richness (% of control) | Perennial native flora species richness is equal to or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the species composition present in the predisturbed habitat type. Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. | 70.0 | 106.7 | 93.6 | 102.0 | 97.48 | Pass | 70.0 | 106.7 | 93.6 | 102.0 | 97.48 | Pass | 79.7 | 112.3 | 85.7 | 88.6 | 88.17 | Pass |
| Native flora species foliage cover (% of control) | Percentage of foliage cover of perennial native flora species indigenous to each vegetation community is equal to or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the pre-disturbed habitat type. Note that within 4 m either side of the pipeline, the completion criteria will only apply to ground cover species and not to tree species, which are not suitable to grow in close proximity to the pipeline. Tree species will be allowed to recover outside of the 8 m corridor. | 11.5 | 49.4 | 63.6 | 97.8 | 83.9 | Pass | 11.5 | 49.4 | 63.6 | 97.8 | 83.9 | Pass | 17.8 | 35.2 | 53.4 | 48.3 | 46.74 | Fail |
| Weed foliage cover: is rehabilitation greater than control (y/n)? | Percentage of foliage cover of Declared species under the Weeds Management Act, Weeds of National Significance (WONS) and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris) is not greater than that of the adjacent control area at 12 months, 24 months and 36 months. | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Fail | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Fail | No | No | No | No | No | Pass |

¹Results for native perennial flora species density, richness and foliage cover in rehabilitation areas (above) are presented as a total percentage of the adjacent control areas, in order to show a comparison of results, indicating the development trajectory of each rehabilitation zone.





Figure 4-1: Flora of significance recorded at monitoring sites across the TNP

Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Flora of Significance

Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L. Egan 1925; DD)

Tephrosia brachyodon (INFRA)



Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52

24PER8044-JP Date: 5/07/2024





5. Summary and recommendations

The following summary and recommendations are specific are based on the methodology outlined in Section 3 (**Table 5.1**).

Table 5.1: Summary, changes over time and recommendations of each rehabilitation zone across the TNP

| Rehabilitation zone | Summary | Changes over time | Recommendations |
|------------------------|---|--|--|
| Native vegetation zone | A total of 156 vascular taxa were recorded in rehabilitation quadrats (150 native and six introduced) compared to 146 in the controls (141 native and five introduced). The introduced (weed) species recorded were *Citrullus colocynthis, *Cenchrus ciliaris, *Cynodon dactylon, *Eragrostis minor, *Eragrostis pilosa, *Eragrostis trichophora. The native vegetation zone satisfied three of the four completion criteria in 2024: • Perennial native flora species density is equal or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area was met; • Perennial native flora species richness is equal or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area was met; • Percentage of foliage cover of perennial native flora species indigenous to each vegetation community is equal or greater than 50% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the pre-disturbed habitat type was met; and • Percentage of foliage cover of declared species under the Weeds Management act WONS and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris) is not greater than that of the adjacent control area at 12 months, 24 months, and 36 months was not met. | Rainfall has been variable since establishment (2020) and has had a noted impact on annually recorded rehabilitation values (i.e., fluctuations in recorded values depending on high or low rainfall events). Rainfall was well above the long-term average in the 12 months preceding the survey, and comparable to the long-term average in the 3 months preceding the survey. Relocation of quadrat 17 in 2022 influenced analysis in the 2022 report, as no temporal comparison was possible. Reassessment of rehabilitation quadrat 17 was undertaken in 2023 and 2024, allowing for appropriate analysis and comparisons to be undertaken. Between 2023 and 2024, an additional 28 vascular plant taxa were recorded across the rehabilitation quadrats. This increase in species count may be attributed to the addition of three quadrats (3, 6, and 8) that were previously included in the MNES habitat zone (Dwarf Desert Spike-rush habitat) (removed after 2023) Despite natural fluctuations following pulse recruitment in high rainfall years and senescence in low rainfall years, native flora species density and native flora species richness have both met the specified completion criteria each year from establishment. Native perennial flora species foliage cover has gradually increased since establishment and has met the specified completion criteria since 2023. Weed foliage cover in rehabilitation was higher in 2023 than 2024, despite Cenchrus ciliaris being present at more sites in 2024, overall cover was much lower. 2023 results recorded *C. ciliaris in 8B (2% cover), 8A (2% cover) and 1B (0.05% cover) whereas 2024, C. ciliaris was recorded in rehabilitation quadrats 3A (0.01% cover) and 8A (1.00% | Significant erosion was observed within site 6 rehabilitation quadrat in 2022, heavy rainfall preceding 2023 survey made it worse and 2024 had standing water. Several large rainfall events have occurred following establishment which increased waterflow in the minor creekline, resulting in expansion of the channel bed and undercutting of the creek bank. Remediation is recommended to stabilise the landform in this area. Although no specific management of *C. ciliaris (Buffel grass) is required under NT or Federal legislation, this species is explicitly mentioned in the approved completion criteria. *C. ciliaris is a rapidly invasive species that can occur to the exclusion of native flora; therefore, control measure should be considered. Small-scale targeted spraying within areas that have the potential to be spread vectors, such as intersecting creeklines and low-lying ephemeral water holding environments, may be an effective measure to reduce the cover of *C. ciliaris in rehabilitation zones. Three completion criteria have been met (Native flora species density, Native flora species richness, Native flora species foliage cover) and monitoring of these can be ceased. Complete annual targeted weed management. |

cover, and control quadrats 3B (0.1% cover), 6B (0.1%

cover), and 8B (0.3% cover).

| Rehabilitation zone | Summary | Changes over time | Recommendations |
|---|---|---|---|
| MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) and MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat) | A total of 103 vascular taxa were recorded in rehabilitation quadrats (101 native and two introduced) compared to 109 in the controls (109 native). The introduced (weed) species recorded were *Cenchrus ciliaris and *Eragrostis minor. The MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat) and MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat) satisfied three of the four completion criteria in 2024: • Perennial native flora species density is equal or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area was met; • Perennial native flora species richness is equal or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area was met; • Percentage of foliage cover of perennial native flora species indigenous to each vegetation community is equal or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the pre-disturbed habitat type was met; and • Percentage of foliage cover of declared species under the Weeds Management act WONS and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris) is not greater than that of the adjacent control area at 12 months, 24 months, and 36 months was not met. | Rainfall has been variable since establishment (2020) and has had a noted impact on annually recorded rehabilitation values (i.e., fluctuations in recorded values depending on high or low rainfall events). Rainfall was well above the long-term average in the 12 months preceding the survey, and comparable to the long-term average in the 3 months preceding the survey. Between 2023 and 2024, an additional 3 vascular plant taxa were recorded across the rehabilitation quadrats. Native perennial flora species density values have been consistently higher than those recorded in the controls since establishment (>100% of the control). From 2021 onward, native perennial flora species richness values recorded in rehabilitation have been consistently comparable to those recorded in the controls (~90% to 100% of control). Native perennial flora species foliage cover in rehabilitation saw a slight decrease in 2024 but is still comparable to controls. In 2022, 2023, and 2024 *C. ciliaris was recorded in rehabilitation quadrats 2A (0.05% cover) and 7A (0.05% cover) and 7A (0.05% cover), leading to weed foliage cover in rehabilitation sites remaining greater than in controls. | Although no specific management of *C. ciliaris (Buffel grass) is required under NT or Federal legislation, this species is explicitly mentioned in the approved completion criteria. *C. ciliaris is a rapidly invasive species that can occur to the exclusion of native flora; therefore, control measure should be considered. Small-scale targeted spraying within areas that have the potential to be spread vectors, such as intersecting creeklines and low-lying ephemeral water holding environments, may be an effective measure to reduce the cover of *C. ciliaris in rehabilitation zones. Three completion criteria have been met (Native flora species density, Native flora species richness, Native flora species foliage cover) and monitoring of these can be ceased. Complete annual targeted weed management. |

| Rehabilitation zone | Summary | Changes over time | Recommendations |
|--|--|---|--|
| MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | A total of 9 vascular taxa were recorded in rehabilitation quadrats (89 native and five introduced) compared to 93 in the controls (89 native and four introduced). The introduced (weed) species recorded were *Bidens bipinnata, *Cenchrus ciliaris, *Cynodon dactylon, *Eragrostis minor, *Eragrostis trichophora, and *Eragrostis cylindriflora. The MNES habitat zone (Princess parrot habitat) satisfied two of the four completion criteria in 2024: • Perennial native flora species density is equal or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area was not met; achieving 30.55% of control; • Perennial native flora species richness is equal or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area was met; • Percentage of foliage cover of perennial native flora species indigenous to each vegetation community is equal or greater than 70% of that of the adjacent control area and reflects the pre-disturbed habitat type was not met; achieving 46.74% of control; • Percentage of foliage cover of declared species under the Weeds Management act WONS and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris) is not greater than that of the adjacent control area at 12 months, 24 months, and 36 months was met. | Rainfall has been variable since establishment (2020) and has had a noted impact on annually recorded rehabilitation values (i.e., fluctuations in recorded values depending on high or low rainfall events). Rainfall was well above the long-term average in the 12 months preceding the survey, and comparable to the long-term average in the 3 months preceding the survey. Between 2023 and 2024, an additional 15 vascular plant taxa were recorded across the rehabilitation quadrats. Native perennial flora species density has been consistently decreasing since establishment (2020). From 2023 to 2024 a substantial decrease was recorded (68.3% of control value to 30.55%), resulting in rehabilitation values being less than 70% of the controls. Since establishment, native perennial flora species richness values recorded have been comparable to those recorded in the controls (~80% to 100% of control). Conversely, native flora species foliage cover did not improve between 2023 and 2024, remaining at <50% of the control values. Weed foliage cover in rehabilitation areas has not exceeded the controls since establishment, with overall *C. ciliaris cover in 2024 being low (0.01% in rehabilitation and 0.04% in controls). | Two completion criteria have been met (Native flora species richness, Weed foliage cover) and monitoring of these can be ceased. Continue monitoring of Native flora species density and Native flora species foliage cover in 2025 to ensure completion criteria are achieved. Consider exploring options to increase Native flora species density and Native flora species foliage cover (e.g., direct seeding or planting seedlings). |

6. References

Bastin, G. and the ACRIS Management Committee. 2008. *Rangelands 2008 — Taking the Pulse*. Published on behalf of the ACRIS Management Committee by the National Land & Water Resources Audit, Canberra.

Brocklehurst P., Lewis D., Napier D. and Lynch D. (2007) *Northern territory Guidelines and Field Methodology for Vegetation Survey and Mapping*. Technical Report No. 02/2007D. Department of Natural Resources, Environment and the Arts, Palmerston.

Bureau of Meteorology (BoM). 2024. *Climate Data Online*. Available: http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/. Accessed May 2024.

Eco Logical Australia (ELA). 2018a. Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan. Prepared for AGIT

Eco Logical Australia (ELA). 2018b. *Pre-clearance Survey Report – Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline*. Prepared for Australian Gas Infrastructure Group (AGIT)

Department of Environment and Natural Resources. 2019. *Declared Weeds in the Northern Territory*. Prepared by the Northern Territory Government [online]. Available from: https://nt.gov.au/ data/assets/pdf file/0016/252133/declared-weeds-in-the-nt.pdf

Northern Territory Herbarium. 2015. *FloraNT Northern Territory flora online*. Available: http://eflora.nt.gov.au/home. Accessed May 2024.

Thackway, R. and Cresswell, I. D. 1995. *An interim biogeographic regionalisation for Australia: A framework for setting priorities in the national reserves system cooperative program.* Version 4.0. Australian Native Conservation Agency, Canberra.

Appendix A Framework for conservation significant flora and fauna ranking

CATEGORIES OF THREATENED SPECIES UNDER THE ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION AND BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION ACT 1999 (EPBC ACT)

Threatened fauna and flora may be listed in any one of the following categories as defined in Section 179 of the EPBC Act. Species listed as 'conservation dependent' and 'extinct' are not Matters of National Environmental Significance and therefore do not trigger the EPBC Act.

| Category | Definition |
|----------------------------|---|
| Extinct (EX) | There is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died. |
| Extinct in the Wild (EW) | Taxa known to survive only in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or taxa has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form. |
| Critically Endangered (CE) | Taxa considered to be facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild. |
| Endangered (EN) | Taxa considered to be facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild. |
| Vulnerable (VU) | Taxa considered to be facing a high risk of extinction in the wild. |
| Near Threatened (NT) | Taxa has been evaluated against the criteria but does not qualify for Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable now, but is close to qualifying for or is likely to qualify for a threatened category in the near future. |
| Least Concern (LC) | Taxa has been evaluated against the criteria and does not qualify for Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable or Near Threatened. Widespread and abundant taxa are included in this category. |
| Data Deficient (DD) | There is inadequate information to make a direct, or indirect, assessment of taxa's risk extinction based on its distribution and/or population status. |
| Not Evaluated (NE) | Taxa has not yet been evaluated against the criteria. |
| Migratory (M) | Not an IUCN category. |
| | Species are defined as migratory if they are listed in an international agreement approved by the Commonwealth Environment Minister, including: |
| | • the Bonn Convention (Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animal) for which Australia is a range state; |
| | • the agreement between the Government of Australian and the Government of the People's Republic of China for the Protection of Migratory Birds and their environment (CAMBA); |
| | • the agreement between the Government of Japan and the Government of Australia for the Protection of Migratory Birds and Birds in Danger of Extinction and their Environment (JAMBA); or |
| | • the agreement between Australia and the Republic of Korea to develop a bilateral migratory bird agreement similar to the JAMBA and CAMBA in respect to migratory bird conservation and provides a basis for collaboration on the protection of migratory shorebirds and their habitat (ROKAMBA). |


CONSERVATION CODES FOR NORTHERN TERRITORY FLORA

| Categories for classification | Description |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Extinct (EX) | A species is extinct when there is no reasonable doubt that the last individual has died. To call a species extinct, there must have been surveys carried out to look for the species across its previously known range. The survey needs to also consider the life cycle of the species and the times of year when it might be located there. |
| Extinct in the wild (EW) | A species is extinct in the wild when it is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population/s outside the range they once lived in. Calling a species needs for there to have been similar surveys to those done for extinct species. |
| Critically endangered (CR) | A species is critically endangered when all the evidence shows that the species meets at least one of the IUCN criteria A to E for critically endangered. It is then at an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild. In cases where a species may be extinct but where not all surveys have been done to show the species absence, the species may be classified in a possibly extinct subcategory. These species are considered threatened in the NT. |
| Endangered (EN) | A species is endangered when all evidence shows that it meets at least one of the IUCN criteria A to E for endangered species, indicating it is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild. These species are considered threatened in the NT. |
| Vulnerable (VU) | A species is vulnerable when all the evidence shows that it meets at least one of the IUCN criteria A to E for vulnerable, indicating that it is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild. These species are considered threatened in the NT. |
| Near threatened (NT) | A species is near threatened when it is not classified in one of the above threatened categories, but it is close to being or is likely to be in a threatened category soon. |
| Least concern (LC) | A species is least concern when there is sufficient information available to make an assessment and it is not classified as critically endangered, endangered, vulnerable or near threatened. Species that are widespread with high numbers are in this category. |
| Data deficient (DD) | A species is data deficient when there is not enough information to make a direct, or indirect, assessment of its risk of extinction based on distribution and/or population. Data deficient is not a category of threatened species, but data deficient species should not be assumed to be safe. A species in this category may be well studied and well known but there is not enough specific data on numbers and distribution. Species in this category need more information and future research will probably show that they need to be classified as threatened. |
| Not evaluated (NE) | A species is not evaluated when it is has not been assessed against the criteria. This may be because the species is a rare visitor to the Territory or that the taxonomy of the species has recently changed or is unclear. |
| Infraspecific (INFRA) | A species which has more than one subspecies, one of which may be listed as a conservation listed species. |

Appendix B GPS location coordinates of monitoring sites

| Vegetation monitoring site | Quadrat type | Easting | Northing |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------|----------|
| 1 | Rehabilitation | 254339 | 7476152 |
| | Control | 254001 | 7476021 |
| 2 | Rehabilitation | 244970 | 7479633 |
| | Control | 245064 | 7479701 |
| 3 | Rehabilitation | 243182 | 7480763 |
| | Control | 243233 | 7480821 |
| 4 | Rehabilitation | 747488 | 7551363 |
| | Control | 747548 | 7551385 |
| 5 | Rehabilitation | 726210 | 7586380 |
| | Control | 726306 | 7586432 |
| 6 | Rehabilitation | 724112 | 7587896 |
| | Control | 724126 | 7587997 |
| 7 | Rehabilitation | 706317 | 7619580 |
| | Control | 706202 | 7619558 |
| 8 | Rehabilitation | 706220 | 7619848 |
| | Control | 706278 | 7619914 |
| 9 | Rehabilitation | 667090 | 7690798 |
| | Control | 667194 | 7690803 |
| 10 | Rehabilitation | 655957 | 7707562 |
| | Control | 656048 | 7707614 |
| 11 | Rehabilitation | 806746 | 7520645 |
| | Control | 806834 | 7520696 |
| 12 | Rehabilitation | 736102 | 7569207 |
| | Control | 736218 | 7569193 |
| 13 | Rehabilitation | 714564 | 7604643 |
| | Control | 714672 | 7604679 |
| 14 | Rehabilitation | 683597 | 7665666 |
| | Control | 683652 | 7665767 |
| 15 | Rehabilitation | 644804 | 7722796 |
| | Control | 644919 | 7722815 |
| 16 | Rehabilitation | 230752 | 7493546 |
| | Control | 230921 | 7493759 |
| 17 | Rehabilitation | 760265 | 7545359 |
| | Control | 760264 | 7545440 |
| | | | |


Appendix C Vegetation monitoring site location



Control

Rehabilitation






Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Control

Rehabilitation






Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








— Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








— Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

- Control
- Rehabilitation






Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Control

Rehabilitation






Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Control

Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








— Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








— Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Control

Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








Control

Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








— Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








Control

Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Control

Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52








- Control
- Rehabilitation





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52





Appendix D Flora species list

| Family | Species | Control | Rehab |
|-----------------|---|---------|-------|
| Amaranthaceae | Alternanthera angustifolia | Х | х |
| Amaranthaceae | Alternanthera denticulata | | Х |
| Amaranthaceae | Alternanthera nana | х | |
| Amaranthaceae | Gomphrena lanata | Х | Х |
| Amaranthaceae | Gomphrena leptophylla | х | х |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus calostachyus | | x |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus fusiformis | X | x |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus helipteroides | | х |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus nobilis subsp. nobilis | | Х |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus obovatus | X | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus polystachyus | X | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus schwartzii | Х | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus xerophilus | | X |
| Apocynaceae | Carissa sp. | Х | |
| Asteraceae | *Bidens bipinnata | | Х |
| Asteraceae | Blumea tenella | Х | Х |
| Asteraceae | Centipeda minima | Х | Х |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea dunlopii | Х | Х |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea ferdinandi-muelleri | Х | Х |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea rubelliflora | | Х |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea tetranthera | Х | |
| Asteraceae | Pterocaulon sp. | | х |
| Asteraceae | Pterocaulon sphacelatum | Х | X |
| Asteraceae | Streptoglossa sp. | | Х |
| Boraginaceae | Euploca tanythrix | Х | Х |
| Boraginaceae | Euploca diversifolia | | х |
| Boraginaceae | Euploca haesum | Х | |
| Boraginaceae | Halgania solanacea var. Mt Doreen (G.M. Chippendale 4206) | | Х |
| Boraginaceae | Heliotropium subreniforme | | Х |
| Boraginaceae | Trichodesma zeylanicum var. zeylanicum | Х | Х |
| Brassicaceae | Stenopetalum nutans | | X |
| Byblidaceae | Byblis filifolia | Х | Х |
| Caryophyllaceae | Polycarpaea corymbosa | Х | Х |
| Celastraceae | Stackhousia intermedia | Х | |
| Celastraceae | Stackhousia sp. swollen gynophore (W.R. Barker 2041) | | Х |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania glomulifera | Х | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania melanocarpa | | х |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania rhadinostachya | х | x |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania rhadinostachya subsp. rhadinostachya | Х | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Einadia nutans subsp. eremaea | х | x |
| Chenopodiaceae | Enchylaena tomentosa | X | х |
| Chenopodiaceae | Maireana villosa | x | x |
| | | | |

| Family | Species | Control | Rehab |
|----------------|---|---------|-------|
| Chenopodiaceae | Salsola australis | Х | х |
| Chenopodiaceae | Sclerolaena convexula | Х | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Sclerolaena cornishiana | х | х |
| Chenopodiaceae | Sclerolaena deserticola | | Х |
| Cleomaceae | Arivela viscosa | х | х |
| Commelinaceae | Commelina ensifolia | | x |
| Convolvulaceae | Bonamia deserticola | | x |
| Convolvulaceae | Bonamia erecta | х | x |
| Convolvulaceae | Bonamia media | х | x |
| Convolvulaceae | Evolvulus alsinoides var. decumbens | х | X |
| Convolvulaceae | Evolvulus alsinoides var. villosicalyx | х | x |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea costata | | x |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea muelleri | х | x |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea sp. | х | |
| Cucurbitaceae | *Citrullus colocynthis | Х | Х |
| Cucurbitaceae | Cucumis argenteus | х | Х |
| Cyperaceae | Abildgaardia oxystachya | Х | |
| Cyperaceae | Bulbostylis barbata | х | х |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus blakeanus | х | х |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus iria | х | Х |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus squarrosus | Х | Х |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus vaginatus | х | |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus leptocarpus | | Х |
| Cyperaceae | Fimbristylis ammobia | х | |
| Cyperaceae | Fimbristylis caespitosa | Х | |
| Cyperaceae | Fimbristylis dichotoma | х | х |
| Cyperaceae | Fimbristylis eremophila | х | |
| Droseraceae | Drosera burmanni | | Х |
| Elatinaceae | Bergia henshallii | Х | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia albrechtii | | Х |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia australis var. hispidula | | х |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia biconvexa | х | x |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia ferdinandi | х | х |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia ferdinandi var. ferdinandi | х | Х |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia papillata var. papillata | х | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia tannensis | х | x |
| Fabaceae | Acacia adsurgens | х | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia aptaneura | х | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia bivenosa | х | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia colei | х | x |
| Fabaceae | Acacia cuthbertsonii subsp. cuthbertsonii | х | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia elachantha | х | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia estrophiolata | | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia incuraneura | х | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia kempeana | х | x |

| Family | Species | Control | Rehab |
|-----------------|---|---------|-------|
| Fabaceae | Acacia melleodora | х | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia pruinocarpa | x | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia sericophylla | x | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia sibirica | x | Х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia sp. | | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia stipuligera | x | х |
| Fabaceae | Acacia tenuissima | x | Х |
| Fabaceae | Crotalaria eremaea subsp. strehlowii | x | |
| Fabaceae | Glycine canescens | x | Х |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera colutea | x | |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera georgei | x | |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera linifolia | x | х |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera linnaei | x | Х |
| Fabaceae | Leptosema anomalum | | Х |
| Fabaceae | Leptosema chambersii | x | |
| Fabaceae | Muelleranthus stipularis | x | Х |
| Fabaceae | Petalostylis cassioides | | Х |
| Fabaceae | Rhynchosia minima | x | Х |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. filifolia | x | |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. helmsii | X | Х |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. oligophylla | X | Х |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. x artemisioides | | Х |
| Fabaceae | Senna notabilis | X | Χ |
| Fabaceae | Senna pleurocarpa | | X |
| Fabaceae | Senna sp. | | Х |
| Fabaceae | Sesbania cannabina | | X |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia brachyodon | X | |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia sp. D Kimberley Flora (R.D.Royce 1848) | Х | Х |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia sp. granite (P.K.Latz 12116) | | Х |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia supina | Х | Х |
| Fabaceae | Vachellia farnesiana var. farnesiana | | Х |
| Fabaceae | Vigna sp. | X | |
| Fabaceae | Vigna sp. McDonald Downs Station (R.A.Perry 3416) | X | |
| Fabaceae | Zornia albiflora | X | Х |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia armitiana | X | |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia hirsuta subsp. run-on areas | X | Х |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia triodiophila | Х | |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia vilmoriniae | | Х |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia virgata | | X |
| Goodeniaceae | Scaevola parvifolia subsp. parvifolia | X | X |
| Gyrostemonaceae | Codonocarpus cotinifolius | X | Х |
| Lamiaceae | Dicrastylis exsuccosa | X | v |
| Lauraceae | Dicrastylis lewellinii Cassytha capillaris | X | X |
| Lauraceae | Cassytha capillaris | V | Х |
| Lauraceae | Cassytha sp. | X | |

| Family | Species | Control | Rehab |
|----------------|---|---------|-------|
| Loganiaceae | Mitrasacme exserta | х | |
| Malvaceae | Abutilon fraseri subsp. fraseri | | Х |
| Malvaceae | Abutilon macrum | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Abutilon otocarpum | х | Х |
| Malvaceae | Androcalva loxophylla | Х | Х |
| Malvaceae | Corchorus sidoides | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Gossypium australe | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus burtonii | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus leptocladus | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus sturtii var. campychlamys | х | |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus sturtii var. truncatus | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Seringia nephrosperma | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida arenicola | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida cardiophylla | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida fibulifera | | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida platycalyx | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida rohlenae subsp. rohlenae | | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L.Egan 1925) | х | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. Pindan (B.G. Thomson 3398) | | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. Rabbit Flat (B.J. Carter 626) | х | |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. Wakaya Desert (P.K.Latz 11894) | х | х |
| Marsileaceae | Marsilea hirsuta | х | Х |
| Montiaceae | Calandrinia balonensis | х | х |
| Montiaceae | Calandrinia pleiopetala | х | х |
| Montiaceae | Calandrinia pychosperma | х | |
| Myrtaceae | Corymbia opaca | | Х |
| Myrtaceae | Eucalyptus camaldulensis subsp. arida | х | |
| Myrtaceae | Eucalyptus gamophylla | х | |
| Myrtaceae | Eucalyptus sp. | | х |
| Myrtaceae | Melaleuca glomerata | х | Х |
| Myrtaceae | Melaleuca lasiandra | х | х |
| Nyctaginaceae | Boerhavia coccinea | х | Х |
| Phyllanthaceae | Dendrophyllanthus erwinii | х | Х |
| Plantaginaceae | Stemodia glabella | | Х |
| Plantaginaceae | Stemodia sp. | | х |
| Poaceae | *Cenchrus ciliaris | х | х |
| Poaceae | *Cynodon dactylon | х | х |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis minor | х | х |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis pilosa | | х |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis trichophora | х | х |
| Poaceae | Aristida contorta | х | х |
| Poaceae | Aristida holathera | х | Х |
| Poaceae | Aristida holathera var. holathera | X | х |
| Poaceae | Aristida inaequiglumis | X | х |

| Family | Species | Control | Rehab |
|---------|--|---------|-------|
| Poaceae | Aristida jerichoensis var. subspinulifera | | х |
| Poaceae | Aristida latifolia | х | x |
| Poaceae | Chloris pectinata | x | X |
| Poaceae | Chrysopogon fallax | x | |
| Poaceae | Cymbopogon ambiguus | x | Х |
| Poaceae | Dactyloctenium radulans | x | х |
| Poaceae | Digitaria brownii | x | х |
| Poaceae | Digitaria ctenantha | x | х |
| Poaceae | Digitaria divaricatissima | x | |
| Poaceae | Digitaria longiflora | x | |
| Poaceae | Echinochloa colona | x | х |
| Poaceae | Enneapogon cylindricus | x | X |
| Poaceae | Enneapogon polyphyllus | x | х |
| Poaceae | Enteropogon ramosus | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis cumingii | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis eriopoda | x | Х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis eriopoda subsp. Sandy fireweed (P.K Latz 12908) | x | |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis falcata | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis kennedyae | x | Х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis leptocarpa | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis pergracilis | | х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis speciosa | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis tenellula | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eriachne aristidea | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eriachne armitii | x | Х |
| Poaceae | Eriachne helmsii | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eriachne obtusa | x | Х |
| Poaceae | Eriachne pulchella | x | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne pulchella subsp. dominii | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eriachne pulchella subsp. pulchella | | х |
| Poaceae | Eulalia aurea | Х | Х |
| Poaceae | Iseilema membranaceum | х | Х |
| Poaceae | Monachather paradoxus | х | Х |
| Poaceae | Panicum australiense | х | Х |
| Poaceae | Panicum decompositum | x | Х |
| Poaceae | Panicum laevinode | X | |
| Poaceae | Paraneurachne muelleri | x | Х |
| Poaceae | Paspalidium clementii | Х | |
| Poaceae | Paspalidium rarum | Х | Х |
| Poaceae | Perotis rara | Х | Х |
| Poaceae | Schizachyrium fragile | Х | х |
| Poaceae | Setaria surgens | Х | Х |
| Poaceae | Sporobolus australasicus | Х | Х |
| Poaceae | Sporobolus blakei | Х | Х |
| Poaceae | Themeda triandra | | Х |

| Family | Species | Control | Rehab |
|------------------|---|---------|-------|
| Poaceae | Tragus australianus | | х |
| Poaceae | Triodia basedowii | x | х |
| Poaceae | Triodia pungens | x | х |
| Poaceae | Triodia schinzii | х | х |
| Poaceae | Tripogonella loliiformis | х | |
| Poaceae | Urochloa holosericea subsp. velutina | х | |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis cylindriflora | х | |
| Polygalaceae | Polygala dependens | | х |
| Polygalaceae | Polygala isingii | | х |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca filifolia | | х |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca olearacea var. undoolya | | х |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca oleracea | х | х |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca pilosa | х | |
| Proteaceae | Grevillea wickhamii subsp. aprica | х | |
| Proteaceae | Hakea chordophylla | х | |
| Proteaceae | Hakea macrocarpa | х | х |
| Pteridaceae | Cheilanthes sieberi subsp. sieberi | Х | |
| Rubiaceae | Dentella asperata | | х |
| Rubiaceae | Paranotis mitrasacmoides (F.Muell.) K.L.Gibbons subsp. mitrasacmoides | | x |
| Rubiaceae | Spermacoce hillii | | х |
| Rubiaceae | Spermacoce occidentalis | х | |
| Rubiaceae | Synaptantha tillaeacea var. tillaeacea | | х |
| Santalaceae | Anthobolus leptomerioides | х | |
| Scrophulariaceae | Eremophila gilesii subsp. gilesii | x | х |
| Scrophulariaceae | Eremophila latrobei subsp. glabra | x | Х |
| Scrophulariaceae | Eremophila longifolia | x | |
| Solanaceae | Nicotiana sp. | х | |
| Solanaceae | Solanum centrale | х | Х |
| Solanaceae | Solanum coactiliferum | | Х |
| Solanaceae | Solanum gilesii | | х |
| Solanaceae | Solanum quadriloculatum | х | х |
| Surianaceae | Stylobasium spathulatum | x | х |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulopis angustifolia | х | х |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus macrocarpus | x | х |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus minutus | | х |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus sp. | х | |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus terrestris | x | |

Appendix E Species by site matrix

| Family | Species | 1A | 18 | 2A | 28 | 3A | 3B | 44 | 48 | 5A | 58 | 6A | 6B | 7A | 78 | 8A | 88 | 9 A | 98 | 10A | 10B | 11A | 11B | 12A | 12B | 13A | 13B | 14A | 14B | 15A | 15B | 16A | 168 | 178 |
|-----------------|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Amaranthaceae | Alternanthera angustifolia | | | | | | | х | | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | | х | х | | | | | | | | > | |
| Amaranthaceae | Alternanthera denticulata | | | | | Х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Alternanthera nana | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Amaranthaceae | Gomphrena lanata | | | | | | Х | Х | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x |
| Amaranthaceae | Gomphrena leptophylla | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus calostachyus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus fusiformis | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | Х | | | | | х | | | | | X | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus helipteroides | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus nobilis subsp. nobilis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus obovatus | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus polystachyus | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus schwartzii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | Ptilotus xerophilus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | > | |
| Apocynaceae | Carissa sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | *Bidens bipinnata | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Blumea tenella | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | x | х | | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Centipeda minima | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | х | | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea dunlopii | | | | | Х | | | | Х | х | | Х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea ferdinandi-muelleri | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | х | | х | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea rubelliflora | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Pluchea tetranthera | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Pterocaulon sp. | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | > | |
| Asteraceae | Pterocaulon sphacelatum | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | X | х | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asteraceae | Streptoglossa sp. | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boraginaceae | Euploca tanythrix | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | Х | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Boraginaceae | Euploca diversifolia | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | |
| Boraginaceae | Euploca haesum | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boraginaceae | Halgania solanacea var. Mt Doreen (G.M. Chippendale 4206) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | |
| Boraginaceae | Heliotropium subreniforme | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| Boraginaceae | Trichodesma zeylanicum var. zeylanicum | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | |
| Brassicaceae | Stenopetalum nutans | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| Byblidaceae | Byblis filifolia | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Caryophyllaceae | Polycarpaea corymbosa | | | | х | | Х | | | х | х | | | | | | х | | | | | X | | | | | | | | Х | X | x | x > | . x |
| Celastraceae | Stackhousia intermedia | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | |
| Celastraceae | Stackhousia sp. swollen gynophore (W.R. Barker 2041) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania glomulifera | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania melanocarpa | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania rhadinostachya | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | х | x | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Dysphania rhadinostachya subsp. rhadinostachya | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Einadia nutans subsp. eremaea | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Enchylaena tomentosa | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | x | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chenopodiaceae | Maireana villosa | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Chenopodiaceae | Salsola australis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | > | x |

| | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | d | 8 | d | m | d | m | d | 8 | d | 8 | d | м . | d | | a m |
|----------------|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|----|----|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|
| Family | Species | 1A | 18 | 2A | 2B | 3A | 38 | 4 A | 4B | 5A | 5B | 6A | 6B | 4. | 78 | 8A | 8B | 9 A | 9B | 10A | 108 | 11A | 118 | 12A | 12B | 13A | 138 | 14A | 14B | 15A | 15B | 16A | 16B | 17A 17B |
| Chenopodiaceae | Sclerolaena convexula | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Chenopodiaceae | Sclerolaena cornishiana | | | x | | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x x |
| Chenopodiaceae | Sclerolaena deserticola | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x |
| Cleomaceae | Arivela viscosa | | | | | х | х | х | х | | х | х | х | х | | | | х | х | х | | х | х | х | х | | | х | | | | | | x x |
| Commelinaceae | Commelina ensifolia | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Convolvulaceae | Bonamia deserticola | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Convolvulaceae | Bonamia erecta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | |
| Convolvulaceae | Bonamia media | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | | x | х | х |
| Convolvulaceae | Evolvulus alsinoides var. decumbens | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | |
| Convolvulaceae | Evolvulus alsinoides var. villosicalyx | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | х | | | | | | x | | x x |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea costata | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea muelleri | | | | | | | | | х | | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Convolvulaceae | Ipomoea sp. | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cucurbitaceae | *Citrullus colocynthis | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cucurbitaceae | Cucumis argenteus | | | | | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | x x |
| Cyperaceae | Abildgaardia oxystachya | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | |
| Cyperaceae | Bulbostylis barbata | | | | | | х | | x | х | x | | | | | | | х | x | | | х | x | | | | | | | х | | | | x x |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus blakeanus | | | | | | | | , | | , | | | | | | | x | | | | ~ | ~ | | | | | | | , | | | | X X |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus iria | | | | | | | | | Y | Y | х | ¥ | | | х | | | ~ | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus squarrosus | | | | | | | | | Α | ^ | X | ^ | | | Λ | | | | | | | | X | х | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus squarrosus Cyperus vaginatus | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | ^ | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyperaceae | Cyperus Vaginatus Cyperus leptocarpus | | ^ | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyperaceae | Fimbristylis ammobia | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | х | | | | | ^ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyperaceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fimbristylis caespitosa Fimbristylis dichotoma | | | | | V | V | v | V | х | v | | х | | | | х | v | ^ | | | v | v | v | v | | | | | v | | | | х х |
| Cyperaceae | • | | | | | X | Х | X | Х | Х | Х | | X | | | | Х | Х | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | Х | | | | х х |
| Cyperaceae | Fimbristylis eremophila Drosera burmanni | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Droseraceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Elatinaceae | Bergia henshallii | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | Х | | | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia albrechtii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia australis var. hispidula | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia biconvexa | | | | Х | | | Х | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia ferdinandi | | | | | Х | Х | | Х | | | Х | | Х | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia ferdinandi var. ferdinandi | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | Х |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia papillata var. papillata | | | | | | | | | | Х | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Euphorbia tannensis | | | X | Х | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | X | X |
| Fabaceae | Acacia adsurgens | | | | | | | | | Х | X | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia aptaneura | | | | Х | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | x x |
| Fabaceae | Acacia bivenosa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia colei | х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia cuthbertsonii subsp. cuthbertsonii | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia elachantha | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | Х | X | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia estrophiolata | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia incuraneura | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia kempeana | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X |
| Fabaceae | Acacia melleodora | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | х | X | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia pruinocarpa | | | | X | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia sericophylla | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | х | | | | | Х | | X | x | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Tall | aiiii Gas | ripeiiii | e Allilua | ai Keiia | avilitati | IOIT IVIOIT | ittoring i | report 20 | 724 Au | stralian G | 15 IIIII as | Hucture | Group |
|------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|----|------------|----|----------|---|---|----------|----|----------|----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|---------|-------|
| Family | Species | 14 | 18 | 2A | 2B | 3A | 38 | 4 A | 4B | . Y | £. | 6 A | S. | 96 74 | f | 9 | § | 8B | 9 | 9B | 10A | 10B | 11A | 118 | 12A | 12B | , | T3A | 13B | 14A | 14B | 15A | 15B | 16A | 16B | 17A | 17B |
| Fabaceae | Acacia sibirica | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia stipuligera | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | X | х | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Acacia tenuissima | | | | | | | | | х | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Crotalaria eremaea subsp. strehlowii | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Glycine canescens | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera colutea | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | 2 | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera georgei | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera linifolia | | | | | | | | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Indigofera linnaei | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | |
| Fabaceae | Leptosema anomalum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Leptosema chambersii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Muelleranthus stipularis | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | |
| Fabaceae | Petalostylis cassioides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | |
| Fabaceae | Rhynchosia minima | | | | | | | | х | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. filifolia | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | v | | |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. helmsii | | | | | v | х | х | х | х | | v | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | Х |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. oligophylla | | | | | ^ | ^ | × | ^ | | х | ^ | X | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | ^ | | | | х | v | | | | | | V | |
| Fabaceae | | | | | | | | ^ | | ^ | ^ | | ^ | • | | | | | | | | | ., | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | X | |
| Fabaceae | Senna artemisioides subsp. x artemisioides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Senna notabilis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Senna pleurocarpa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | (| | Х | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Senna sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | |
| Fabaceae | Sesbania cannabina | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia brachyodon | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia sp. D Kimberley Flora (R.D.Royce 1848) | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia sp. granite (P.K.Latz 12116) | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Tephrosia supina | | | | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Vachellia farnesiana var. farnesiana | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Vigna sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Vigna sp. McDonald Downs Station (R.A.Perry 3416) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fabaceae | Zornia albiflora | | | | | | | | | x | х | | | x | х | • | | | | | | | | | | ^ | х | , | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia armitiana | | | | | | | | | Α | x | | | Α | ^ | • | | | | х | | | | | | | ^ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia hirsuta subsp. run-on areas | | | | | | | | | х | ^ | | х | | | | | | | ^ | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | Х |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia triodiophila | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | ^ | • | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | | | | | | x | | v | | |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia vilmoriniae | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | X | | |
| Goodeniaceae | Goodenia virmoimiae Goodenia virgata | | | | | ^ | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | × | | | | | |
| Goodeniaceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | | | |
| | Scaevola parvifolia subsp. parvifolia | | | | ., | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| Gyrostemonaceae Lamiaceae | Codonocarpus cotinifolius | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | |
| | Dicrastylis exsuccosa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Lamiaceae | Dicrastylis lewellinii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | X | | |
| Lauraceae | Cassytha capillaris | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lauraceae | Cassytha sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Loganiaceae | Mitrasacme exserta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Abutilon fraseri subsp. fraseri | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Malvaceae | Abutilon macrum | | | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | Х | | Х | X |
| Malvaceae | Abutilon otocarpum | | | Х | Х | | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | | 2 | X | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | Х | | Х | | | Χ |
| Malvaceae | Androcalva loxophylla | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Idildii | ii Gas Pi | ipeline <i>P</i> | innual Re | enabilita | tion wor | nitoring i | keport 20 | 24 Aus | tralian Gas | mirastr | ucture Group |
|----------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| Family | Species | 1A | 18 | 2A | 2B | 3A | 38 | 44 | 4B | 5A | 2B | 6A | 6B | 7A | 78 | 8A | 8B | 9 A | 98 | 10A | 108 | 11A | 118 | 12A | 12B | 13A | 13B | 14A | 14B | 15A | 15B | 16A | 16B | 17A 17B |
| Malvaceae | Corchorus sidoides | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | |
| Malvaceae | Gossypium australe | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | x |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus burtonii | | | х | х | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus leptocladus | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | х | | х | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus sturtii var. campychlamys | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | х | | | |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus sturtii var. truncatus | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Seringia nephrosperma | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | х | | |
| Malvaceae | Sida arenicola | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | x | | | |
| Malvaceae | Sida cardiophylla | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | х | x | |
| Malvaceae | Sida fibulifera | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Malvaceae | Sida platycalyx | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | | х х |
| Malvaceae | Sida rohlenae subsp. rohlenae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. excedentifolia (J.L.Egan 1925) | | | х | | x | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. Pindan (B.G. Thomson 3398) | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. Rabbit Flat (B.J. Carter 626) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malvaceae | Sida sp. Wakaya Desert (P.K.Latz 11894) | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | х | | х | х | |
| Marsileaceae | Marsilea hirsuta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | |
| Montiaceae | Calandrinia balonensis | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | x | |
| Montiaceae | Calandrinia pleiopetala | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Montiaceae | Calandrinia pychosperma | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Myrtaceae | Corymbia opaca | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Myrtaceae | Eucalyptus camaldulensis subsp. arida | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Myrtaceae | Eucalyptus gamophylla | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | х | |
| Myrtaceae | Eucalyptus sp. | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Myrtaceae | Melaleuca glomerata | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Myrtaceae | Melaleuca lasiandra | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nyctaginaceae | Boerhavia coccinea | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | X | | | | х | х | | | | | x x |
| Phyllanthaceae | Dendrophyllanthus erwinii | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | | х | Х | х | | | | | | | | | |
| Plantaginaceae | Stemodia glabella | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plantaginaceae | Stemodia sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | *Cenchrus ciliaris | | х | х | | х | х | | | | | | х | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | *Cynodon dactylon | х | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis cylindriflora | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis minor | | | | | | | х | | х | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis pilosa | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | *Eragrostis trichophora | | | | | х | | х | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | х х |
| Poaceae | Aristida contorta | | | х | | х | х | х | х | | | | х | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х х |
| Poaceae | Aristida holathera | | | х | х | х | х | | | | | х | | | х | | х | | х | | х | х | х | х | | | х | | х | | х | х | x | x |
| Poaceae | Aristida holathera var. holathera | | | | | | | | | | х | | х | х | | | | х | | х | | | | | | х | | х | | х | | | | |
| Poaceae | Aristida inaequiglumis | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | х | | х | | |
| Poaceae | Aristida jerichoensis var. subspinulifera | | | х | | х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Aristida latifolia | | | | х | | Х | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | х х |
| Poaceae | Chloris pectinata | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Chrysopogon fallax | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Cymbopogon ambiguus | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Dactyloctenium radulans | | | х | | х | | х | | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | | х | х | Х | | | | | | | | х | | х х |
| | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ranar | ni Gas P | ipellile A | inuai Ke | lehabilita | tion ivio | nitoring | Report 20 | 724 Aus | Stranan C | as iniras | structure | Group |
|----------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|----|---|------------|---|------|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|----------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Family | Species | 1A | 18 | 2A | 2B | 3A | 38 | 4 A | 4B | 2A | ä | 8 9 | g | 8 \$ | 78 | 8A | 8B | 9 A | 9B | 10A | 10B | 11A | 11B | 12A | 12B | 13A | 13B | 14A | 14B | 15A | 15B | 16A | 16B | 17A | 17B |
| Poaceae | Digitaria brownii | | | х | | х | | х | х | | | | х | | | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | х | Х | х |
| Poaceae | Digitaria ctenantha | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Digitaria divaricatissima | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Digitaria longiflora | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Echinochloa colona | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Enneapogon cylindricus | | | | | | | х | х | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | x |
| Poaceae | Enneapogon polyphyllus | | | х | х | х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | |
| Poaceae | Enteropogon ramosus | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | x | х |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis cumingii | | | х | х | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | | | | | | | х | | х | |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis eriopoda | | | х | х | х | | | | х | | | | х | | | | х | | х | | х | х | | | | | х | | х | | х | х | x | |
| | Eragrostis eriopoda subsp. Sandy fireweed (P.K Latz | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | 12908) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis falcata | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis kennedyae | | | | | х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis leptocarpa | | | | | | Х | | | Х | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | x |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis pergracilis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis speciosa | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eragrostis tenellula | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne aristidea | | | х | х | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | | х | | | х | | | | х | х | | х | | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne armitii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne helmsii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne obtusa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne pulchella | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne pulchella subsp. dominii | | | | | | | х | | | х | | х | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eriachne pulchella subsp. pulchella | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Eulalia aurea | | х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | x | x |
| Poaceae | Iseilema membranaceum | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Monachather paradoxus | | | x | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Panicum australiense | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | х | | | х | х | х | х | | | | | х | | х | | х | х | х | х | | |
| Poaceae | Panicum decompositum | | | | | х | х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | х | | | х |
| Poaceae | Panicum laevinode | | | | | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х |
| Poaceae | Paraneurachne muelleri | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | | |
| Poaceae | Paspalidium clementii | | | | х | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Paspalidium rarum | | х | х | | | | х | х | х | | х | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | х | х | | | | | х | | х | | | |
| Poaceae | Perotis rara | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | | х | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | х |
| Poaceae | Schizachyrium fragile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Setaria surgens | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Sporobolus australasicus | | | | | | | х | | | х | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Sporobolus blakei | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Themeda triandra | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Tragus australianus | | | Х | | х | | х | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | |
| Poaceae | Triodia basedowii | | | Х | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | |
| Poaceae | Triodia pungens | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | х | Х | | х | | х | х | х | | | | | х | Х | | х | х | х | | | | |
| Poaceae | Triodia schinzii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Tripogonella loliiformis | | | | | | х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poaceae | Urochloa holosericea subsp. velutina | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| Polygalaceae | Polygala dependens | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | |
| Polygalaceae | Polygala isingii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | |
| . 0. ₁₀ aiaceae | , yy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ranan | ii Gas Pi | peline Al | nnual Rel | nabilitati | on ivioni | toring Re | port 20 | 24 Aus | tralian G | as intras | tructure | _roup |
|------------------|---|----------|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|------------|----|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|
| Family | Species | 4 | 1B | 2A | 2B | 3A | 3B | 4 4 | 4B | 2 A | 28 | 6A | 6B | 47 | 78 | 8A | 8B | 9 6 | 98 | 10A | 10B | 11A | 11B | 12A | 12B | 13A | 13B | 14A | 148 | 15A | 158 | 16A | 16B | 17A | 17B |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca filifolia | | | | | | | х | | х | | х | | | | х | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х | |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca olearacea var. undoolya | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca oleracea | | | х | | | x | х | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | х | | | х | | |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca pilosa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proteaceae | Grevillea wickhamii subsp. aprica | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | |
| Proteaceae | Hakea chordophylla | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proteaceae | Hakea macrocarpa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | |
| Pteridaceae | Cheilanthes sieberi subsp. sieberi | | | | | | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rubiaceae | Dentella asperata | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rubiaceae | Paranotis mitrasacmoides (F.Muell.) K.L.Gibbons subsp. mitrasacmoides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rubiaceae | Spermacoce hillii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | |
| Rubiaceae | Spermacoce occidentalis |) | x | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rubiaceae | Synaptantha tillaeacea var. tillaeacea | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | |
| Santalaceae | Anthobolus leptomerioides | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scrophulariaceae | Eremophila gilesii subsp. gilesii | | | х | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scrophulariaceae | Eremophila latrobei subsp. glabra | | | х | | x | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | x | х | х |
| Scrophulariaceae | Eremophila longifolia | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solanaceae | Nicotiana sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solanaceae | Solanum centrale | | | х | x | х | х | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | х | | | х | | х | х | х | х |
| Solanaceae | Solanum coactiliferum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | |
| Solanaceae | Solanum gilesii | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | |
| Solanaceae | Solanum quadriloculatum | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surianaceae | Stylobasium spathulatum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | х | | | | | х | | | | |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulopis angustifolia | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus macrocarpus | | | | | х | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus minutus | | | | | | | x | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zygophyllaceae | Tribulus terrestris | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х |

Appendix F Summary of introduced (weed) species recorded across the TNP

| Species | WoNS or Declared Pest? | Monitoring site | Quadrat type | # of plants |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| *Bidens bipinnata | No | 4 | Rehabilitation | 1 |
| *Cenchrus ciliaris | No | 1 | Control | 9 |
| *Cenchrus ciliaris | No | 3 | Control | 2 |
| *Cenchrus ciliaris | No | 6 | Control | 3 |
| *Cenchrus ciliaris | No | 2 | Rehabilitation | 1 |
| *Cenchrus ciliaris | No | 3 | Rehabilitation | 1 |
| *Cenchrus ciliaris | No | 7 | Rehabilitation | 2 |
| *Cenchrus ciliaris | No | 8 | Rehabilitation | 30 |
| *Citrullus colocynthis | No | 6 | Control | 1 |
| *Citrullus colocynthis | No | 6 | Rehabilitation | 1 |
| *Cynodon dactylon | No | 1 | Control | 15 |
| *Cynodon dactylon | No | 6 | Control | 5 |
| *Cynodon dactylon | No | 8 | Control | 10 |
| *Cynodon dactylon | No | 1 | Rehabilitation | 2 |
| *Cynodon dactylon | No | 8 | Rehabilitation | 200 |
| *Eragrostis cylindriflora | No | 1 | Control | 5 |
| *Eragrostis minor | No | 6 | Control | 15 |
| *Eragrostis minor | No | 4 | Rehabilitation | 1000 |
| *Eragrostis minor | No | 5 | Rehabilitation | 10 |
| *Eragrostis minor | No | 6 | Rehabilitation | 500 |
| *Eragrostis pilosa | No | 6 | Rehabilitation | 50 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 4 | Control | 2 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 11 | Control | 5 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 17 | Control | 180 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 3 | Rehabilitation | 4 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 4 | Rehabilitation | 15 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 6 | Rehabilitation | 50 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 11 | Rehabilitation | 120 |
| *Eragrostis trichophora | No | 17 | Rehabilitation | 25 |
| | | | | |


Note: Completion criteria states percentage of foliage cover of Declared species under the Weeds Management Act, Weeds of National Significance (WONS) and Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris).

Appendix G Assessment of individual monitoring sites within the TNP against minimum standards outlined in approved completion criteria (AGIG *Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline Rehabilitation Plan*; ELA 2018a)

| Monitoring site | Hectares (ha) | Rehabilitation zone | Native flora species density (plants per m²) | | | Native flora species richness (per quadrat) | | | Native flora species foliage cover (%) | | | Weed foliage cover (%) | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|----------------|------------|---|----------------|------------|---|----------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | | | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) |
| 1 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | 0.007 | 0.0006 | n | 7 | 2 | n | 7.17 | 0.21 | n | 0.90 | 0.20 | У |
| 2 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat), MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat) | 0.207 | 0.4704 | У | 26 | 23 | У | 65.89 | 60.63 | У | 0.00 | 0.05 | n |
| 3 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | Native vegetation zone | 0.384 | 0.2086 | n | 29 | 28 | У | 67.68 | 39.87 | n | 0.10 | 2.01 | n |
| 4 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | 0.914 | 0.1002 | n | 27 | 24 | у | 54.63 | 11.45 | n | 5.00 | 25.01 | n |
| 5 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat), MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat), MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | 0.242 | 0.2670 | У | 28 | 28 | У | 65.44 | 58.15 | У | 0.00 | 0.01 | n |
| 6 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | Native vegetation zone | 0.329 | 0.1254 | n | 26 | 14 | у | 17.54 | 6.5 | n | 0.37 | 5.91 | n |
| 7 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat), MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat), MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | 0.185 | 0.0752 | n | 13 | 16 | У | 58.28 | 43.22 | У | 0.00 | 0.05 | n |
| 8 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | Native vegetation zone | 0.035 | 0.0604 | У | 11 | 3 | n | 46.1 | 55.06 | У | 0.30 | 11.00 | n |
| 9 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat), MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat) | 0.188 | 0.3152 | У | 14 | 14 | У | 36.46 | 46.89 | У | 0.00 | 0.00 | у |
| 10 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat), MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat), MNES habitat zone (Princess Parrot habitat) | 0.200 | 0.0300 | n | 18 | 12 | n | 67.46 | 5.22 | n | 0.00 | 0.00 | У |
| 11 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | Native vegetation zone | 0.680 | 0.5748 | У | 33 | 26 | У | 8.46 | 51.68 | У | 0.02 | 0.20 | n |
| 12 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | Native vegetation zone | 1.155 | 0.3504 | n | 10 | 20 | У | 40.18 | 14.64 | n | 0.00 | 0.00 | У |
| 13 | Control quadrat: 0.1 Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 Monitoring site total: 0.2 | Native vegetation zone | 0.184 | 0.1288 | У | 10 | 20 | У | 60.89 | 38.83 | У | 0.00 | 0.00 | У |

| Monitoring site | Hectares (ha) | Rehabilitation zone | Native flora species density (plants per m²) | | | Native flora species richness (per quadrat) | | | Native flora species foliage cover (%) | | | Weed foliage cover (%) | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|----------------|------------|--|----------------|------------|--|----------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | | | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) | Control | Rehabilitation | Pass (y/n) |
| Control quadrat: 0.1 | Control quadrat: 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 | Native vegetation zone | 0.185 | 0.0674 | n | 12 | 19 | У | 39.51 | 14.7 | n | 0.00 | 0.00 | У |
| | Monitoring site total: 0.2 Control quadrat: 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 | Native vegetation zone | 0.144 | 0.1488 | V | 23 | 29 | ٧ | 56.73 | 26.22 | n | 0.00 | 0.00 | V |
| Monitoring site total: 0.2 | Monitoring site total: 0.2 | | 0.2 | 0.2.00 | , | | | , | 50.75 | 20.22 | | 2.00 | 0.00 | , |
| Control qu | Control quadrat: 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 | MNES habitat zone (Greater Bilby and Great Desert Skink habitat), MNES habitat zone (Night Parrot habitat) | 0.194 | 0.2720 | У | 20 | 23 | У | 33.72 | 60.45 | У | 0.00 | 0.00 | У |
| | Monitoring site total: 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Control quadrat: 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Rehabilitation quadrat: 0.1 | Native vegetation zone | 0.777 | 0.3564 | n | 27 | 30 | У | 78.01 | 41.38 | У | 0.20 | 0.20 | У |
| | Monitoring site total: 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix H Completion criteria result per individual rehabilitation site



Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Pass


Fail





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 26/07/2024






— Tanami Newmont Gas Pipeline

Pass


Fail





Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 26/07/2024









Fail













Fail








Appendix I Completion criteria result per monitoring site within each rehabilitation zone



Pass


Fail



Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 5/08/2024

60






Pass

Fail




Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 5/08/2024 logical




Pass

Fail




Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 5/08/2024 logical A TETRA TECH COMPANY



Pass

Fail




Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52

60

24PER8044-JP Date: 5/08/2024







Pass

Fail











Pass











Pass











Fail

Pass










Pass


Fail



Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52


24PER8044-JP Date: 5/08/2024






Pass

Fail



Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 5/08/2024 logical


A TETRA TECH COMPANY




Pass

Fail










Pass


Fail




Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 52 24PER8044-JP Date: 5/08/2024 logical A TETRA TECH COMPANY

Appendix J Photo monitoring points 2020-2024


Monitoring site 1































































































^{* 17} rehabilitation quadrat was moved in 2022, 2023, and 2024 as the 2020/2021 quadrat had been cleared for a camp for another project.



